Tetrahedron Letters No. 21, pp. 1991-1996, 1967. Pergamon Press Ltd. Printed in Great Britain.

## CONFORMATION AND STEREOSPECIFIC LONG-RANGE SPIN COUPLING IN CIS-3,5-DIBROMOCYCLOPENTENE

H.J.Jakobsen

Department of Organic Chemistry, Chemical Institute

University of Aarhus

Denmark

(Received 21 March 1967)

In fixed cyclohexanes the torsional or dihedral angle for vicinal <u>cis</u> protons is close to  $60^{\circ}$  and reported values for the coupling constants  $J_{aa}$  and  $J_{ae}$ , which may be used in studies of conformational equilibria (1), usually range from 10-12.5 c/s and 3.5-4.5 c/s, respectively (1). In the series of cyclopen-





tenes only few values have been reported for the averaged vicinal coupling constants between protons on adjacent sp<sup>3</sup>-hybridised carbon atoms. For cyclopentene itself the average coupling constants in the  $CH_2-CH_2$  fragment are  $J_{cis} = \frac{1}{2}(J_{a_3'e_4'} + J_{e_3'a_4'}) = 7.4$  c/s, and  $J_{trans} = \frac{1}{2}(J_{a_3'a_4'} + J_{e_3'e_4'}) = 4.6$  c/s (2), and the dihedral angle is <u>ca</u>. 20-25° (3).

<u>Cis</u>-1,3-dibromocyclohexane exists exclusively in the e,e conformation (I) according to NMR spectra and dipole moment (4,5). It seemed worth-while to examine whether the 1,3-bromine interaction in <u>cis</u>-3,5-dibromocyclopentene would increase the dihedral angle in the  $CH(Br)-CH_2$  fragment resulting in a more buckled (cyclohexane-like) conformation (II) or if the molecule retains the more planar conformation as in cyclopentene itself.



In the NMR spectrum (6) of <u>cis-3,5-dibromocyclopentene</u> the  $CH_2$  fragment shows up as the AB part (FIG.1) of an ABM<sub>2</sub> (more correctly ABMM'XX') spin sys<sup>1</sup> tem thus confirming the <u>cis</u> orientation of substituents in the isomer with m.p.  $45^{\circ}$  as reassigned by Young <u>et al</u>. (7). The lines for the high field proton in the AB part are clearly split into triplets indicating long-range coupling to the ethylenic protons (J = 0.4 c/s), while a weaker triplet splitting (J = 0.2 c/s) was observed for the lines due to the low field proton. Examples of this type of long-range coupling over four bonds (H-C-C-C-H) have recently been observed by Garbisch (8), and have also been shown to exist in cyclohexene, but not in cyclopentene (9a). Analysis (9b) of the AB part of the spectrum gave the values 6.9 c/s and 1.5 c/s for the two averaged vicinal coupling constants.

If the dihedral angle for the <u>cis</u> protons is assumed to be near  $60^{\circ}$ , a conformationally homogenous compound (e,e) (II) is expected, as the contribution from the diaxial bromine conformation will be insignificant because of the van der Waal's radius of 1.95 Å for Br (molecular model). This involves  $J_{trans} =$  $J_{aa}$  and  $J_{cis} = J_{ae}$ . However, as for <u>cis</u>-1,3-dibromocyclohexane (I)  $J_{trans} =$  $J_{aa} = 10.2 \text{ c/s}$  (1), and  $J_{cis} = J_{ae} = 3.9 \text{ c/s}$  (4),the experimental values, 6.9 c/s and 1.5 c/s, for <u>cis-3,5-dibromocyclopentene</u> appear too small for  $J_{trans}$  and  $J_{cis}$ , respectively, to make the assumed e,e-conformation (II) probable.



FIG.1. Experimental (above) and computed (below) 60 Mc/s spectra for the AB protons of the ABMM'XX' spin systems in <u>cis</u>-3,5-dibromocyclopentene.  $v_A = 182.1 \text{ c/s}, v_B = 160.9 \text{ c/s}, v_M = 300.0 \text{ c/s}, J_{AB} = -16.4 \text{ c/s}, J_{AM} = +6.9 \text{ c/s}, J_{BM} = +1.5 \text{ c/s}, |J_{BX}| = 0.4 \text{ c/s}, |J_{AX}| = 0.2 \text{ c/s}.$ 

A more planar conformation seems favoured, and as  $J_{cis}$  and  $J_{trans}$  should be roughly in the ratio 4:1 for a planar ring (10) (e.g., for cyclopentenone  $J_{cis} = 7.2 \text{ c/s}$  and  $J_{trans} = 2.2 \text{ c/s}$ ) (10), the result of the ABM<sub>2</sub> analysis was interpreted as follows:  $J_{cis} = J_{AM} = +6.9 \text{ c/s}$ ,  $J_{trans} = J_{BM} = +1.5 \text{ c/s}$ ,  $J_{gem} = J_{AB} = -16.4 \text{ c/s}$ , and  $v_A - v_B = +21.2 \text{ c/s}$  (at 60 Mc/s). The interpretation implies that proton B (the proton <u>cis</u> to the bromine atoms) has the strongest long-range coupling to the two ethylenic protons.

A remarkable result from this analysis is the small value obtained for  $J_{trans}$ . However, observations on six-membered rings indicate that the maximum effect of an electronegative substituent on  $J_{vic}$  coincides with <u>trans</u>-coplanarity of the substituent and H-vicinal (11). Furthermore it was suggested (11) that a second weaker maximum electronegativity effect may coincide with <u>cis</u>-coplanarity in the system R-C-C-H. This suggestion is clearly substantiated by the results from analyses of the CH<sub>2</sub>-CHBr fragments in 4-bromocyclopent-2-enone ( $J_{cis} = 6.4$  c/s and  $J_{trans} = 1.8$  c/s; the computed spectrum for proton H<sub>4</sub> is shown in FIG.2) and in 1-bromoacenaphthene ( $J_{cis} = 7.4$  c/s and  $J_{trans} = 1.9$  c/s) (12). The strong decrease suffered by  $J_{cis}$  in <u>cis</u>-3,5-dibromocyclopentene therefore agrees with a nearly planar conformation and fully supports the <u>cis</u>-coplanarity rule.



FIG.2. Observed (left) and computed (right) NMR spectra of proton H<sub>4</sub> in 4-bromocyclopent-2-enone in CS<sub>2</sub> at 60 Mc/s.  $v_2 = 370.0$  c/s,  $v_3 = 456.8$  c/s,  $v_4 = 308.9$  c/s,  $v_5 = 153.7$  c/s,  $v_6 = 175.1$  c/s;  $J_{42} = -1.2$ c/s,  $J_{43} = +2.6$  c/s,  $J_{45} = +1.8$  c/s, and  $J_{46} = +6.4$  c/s.

Assuming the dihedral angle in <u>cis</u>-3,5-dibromocyclopentene to be close to that in cyclopentene (<u>ca</u>.20-25<sup>o</sup>) we obtain from the two possible conformations III and IV:  $J_{cis}(average) = x J_{a_{3}^{\circ}e_{4}^{\circ}} + (1-x)J_{e_{3}^{\circ}a_{4}^{\circ}} \approx J_{a_{3}^{\circ}e_{4}^{\circ}} \approx J_{e_{3}^{\circ}a_{4}^{\circ}}$  because of the small dihedral angle.  $J_{a_{3}^{\circ}e_{4}^{\circ}}$  is expected to be only slightly greater than  $J_{e_{3}^{\circ}a_{4}^{\circ}}$  due to the out of plane orientation of the electronegative substituents (11):  $(Br-C-C-H_{e_{4}^{\circ}}) \approx 100^{\circ}$  in III, while  $(Br-C-C-H_{a_{4}^{\circ}}) \approx 140^{\circ}$  in IV.



It should be emphasized that  $J_{cis}$  for the CHBr-CH<sub>2</sub> fragments in <u>cis</u>-1,3dibromocyclohexane (I) and <u>cis</u>-3,5-dibromocyclopentene seems to be roughly related by the equation  $3.9 \approx 6.9 \cos^2 \theta$  where  $\theta = 60^\circ - \varphi$  is the difference in dihedral angles; a rough value of  $\varphi = 19^\circ$  is obtained for the torsional angle in <u>cis</u>-dibromocyclopentene. Further examples from related cyclohexanes and cyclopentenes are needed to support or reject this relation, although the values for  $J_{cis}$  in cyclohexane and cyclopentene also seem to fulfil the relation. Using the likely value of 4.5 c/s for  $J_{ae}$  in cyclohexane and  $J_{a'_{3}e'_{4}} = 7.4$  c/s for cyclopentene we obtain  $\varphi = 21^\circ$  for the angle of buckle in cyclopentene from 4.5 $\approx$ 7.4  $\cos^2(60 - \varphi)$ .

Efforts to relate the vicinal coupling constants with an equilibrium conformational distribution were prevented by the lack of reliable values for  $J_{a_{j}^{*}e_{4}^{*}}$ ,  $J_{e_{j}^{*}a_{4}^{*}}$ ,  $J_{a_{j}^{*}a_{4}^{*}}$ , and  $J_{e_{j}^{*}e_{4}^{*}}$  although a method for solving the problem has been clearly outlined by Gutowsky <u>et al</u>. (9c).

Acknowledgement. Computer programs were kindly supplied by Dr. K. Schaumburg, University of Copenhagen.

## REFERENCES

- (1) H.Booth, Tetrahedron 20, 2211 (1964).
- (2) R.J.Abraham, private communication.
- (3) G.W.Ratjens, Jr., <u>J.Chem.Phys</u>. <u>36</u>, 2401 (1962).
- (4) H.M. van Dort and Th.J.Sekuur, Tetrahedron Letters 1963, 1301.
- (5) B.Franzus and B.E.Hudson, Jr., J.Org. Chem. 28, 2238 (1963).
- (6) NMR spectra were recorded at 35° on a Varian A-60 using CS<sub>2</sub> as selvent and TMS as internal reference standard. Computations of theoretical spectra were carried out on the GIER computer equipped with an XY plotter at this University using programmes written in GIER-ALGOL III.
- (7) W.G.Young, H.K.Hall, Jr., and S.Winstein, J.Am. Chem. Soc. 78, 4338 (1956).
- (8) E.W.Garbisch, Jr., Chem. and Ind. 1964, 1715.
- (9) J.W.Emsley, J.Feeney, and L.H.Sutcliffe, <u>High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic</u> <u>Resonance Spectroscopy</u>, a) p.741, b) p.388, c) p.570; Pergamon Press, Oxford (1965).
- (10) R.J.Abraham and W.A.Thomas, Chem.Commun. 1965, 431.
- (11) H.Booth, Tetrahedron Letters 1965, 411.
- (12) M.J.Dewar and R.C.Fahey, <u>J.Am.Chem.Soc</u>. <u>84</u>, 2012 (1962).